COURSE SYLLABUS

1. Data about the program

1.1 Higher education institution	Babeș-Bolyai University
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of European Studies
1.3 Doctoral school	European Paradigm
1.4 Field of study	International Relations and European Studies
1.5 Study cycle	Doctorate
1.6 Study program / Qualification	International Relations and European Studies (English line of study)

2. Course data

2.1 Name of discipline Decision Making Process in Foreign Policy and International Politics							
2.2 Teacher responsible for lectures Associate Professor Ph.D. Gabriela Ciot							
2.3 Teacher responsible for seminars			As	Associate Professor Ph.D. Gabriela Ciot			
2.4 Year of study	2.5	Semester		2.6. Type of 2.7 Course framework			
				evaluation			

3. Estimated total time of teaching activities (hours per semester)

3.1 Hours per week	4	Out of which: 3.2	2	3.3 Seminars /	2
		Lectures		Laboratory classes	
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	56	Out of which: 3.5	28	3.6 Seminars /	28
		Lectures		Laboratory classes	
Allocation of study time: 10x25=25	0				Hours
Study supported by textbooks, other course materials, recommended bibliography and personal 1					100
student notes					
Additional learning activities in the library, on specialized online platforms and in the field 100					100
Preparation of seminars / laboratory classes, topics, papers, portfolios and essays 52					
Tutoring					
Examinations					
Other activities: -					
3.7 Individual study (total hours) 202					

5.7 mulvidual study (total nouis)	202
3.8 Total hours per semester	250
3.9 Number of credits	10

4. Preconditions (where applicable)

4.1 Curriculum	• It is not the case
4.2 Competences	• It is not the case

5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1 Conducting lectures	Online/Course room, projector
5.2 Conducting seminars /	Online/Course room, projector
laboratory classes	

6. Specific competences acquired

Professional competences	 C1.1 Identify critical and selective theoretical developments, methodological and practical decision-making in the field; C2.1 Develop analysis of idiosyncrasies present in a particular context and for their stakeholders; C4.1 Develop models to identify specific idiosyncrasies that occur in decision-making; C3.2 Determination and repertorying pertinent factors that cause idiosyncrasies; C5.4 Formulation and application of specific criteria to determine the appropriateness of decisions.
------------------------------------	--

7. Course objectives (based on the acquired competencies grid)

7.1 The general objective of the course	• Understand and explain the complexity of foreign policy and international politics decision making process.
7.2 Specific objectives	 Identifying cognitive processes that lead to a decision; Identification of individual and overall behavioral patterns of the decision; The use of psychological methods of analysis of decision-making.

8. Content

8. Content		
8.1 Lectures	Teaching methods	Comments
Unit 1	Academic lectures,	Ciot, MG.,"Negotiation
Foreign policy decion making – recent	collective	and Foreign Policy
approaches (3 courses)	dialogue	Decision Making",
		Cambridge Scholars
		Publishing, Newcastle
		Upon Tyne, 2014;
		Mintz, A., De Rouen Jr.,
		K., "Understanding
		Foreign Policy Decision
		Making", New York,
		Cambridge University
		Press, 2010
		-course support pg. 3-29.
Unit 2	Academic lectures,	Ciot, MG.,"Negotiation
Model of decision making: The Rational	collective	and Foreign Policy
Actor Model, The Organizational Politics	dialogue	Decision Making",
Model, The Bureaucratic Politics (3 courses)		Cambridge Scholars
woder, The Bureaucratic Fonties (5 courses)		Publishing, Newcastle
		Upon Tyne, 2014;
		3
		Allison, G., Zelikow, P.,
		Essence Decision :
		Explaining the Cuban
		Missile Crisis, Iași,
		Polirom,
		2010;
		course support pg. 30-90.
Unit 3	Academic lectures,	Ciot, MG.,"Negotiation
Alternatives of decisions making models (3	collective	and Foreign Policy
courses)	dialogue	Decision
		Making", Cambridge
		Scholars Publishing,
		Newcastle Upon Tyne,
		2014;

McDermott, R., Po Psychology International Relations Ann Ar	in
International Relations, Ann Ar	bor,
Press,	niversity
2004, p. 173-177. course support pg.	91-148.
emotional (affective) (3 courses) Cambridge Publishing, No Upon Tyne, 2014; Ciot, Gabriela, M negotiator, Cluj- Eikon, 2012, p. 24 course support pg 182.	Policy Making", Scholars ewcastle Iodel of Napoca, 7-285.
8.2 Seminars / laboratory classes Teaching methods Comments	
Analysis of foreign policy decision making: traditional models (I)Case StudyThe Cuban Missile (I)Movie	Crisis
Analysis of foreign policy decision making: traditional models (II)Case StudyThe Cuban Missile of Movie	Crisis
Identification of idiosyncrasies elements from decision Case Study, Debate The Negotiator Mov	vie
Idiosyncratic analysis of decision making (I) Case Study, Debate Case studies from st proposal	tudents
Idiosyncratic analysis of decision making (II)Case Study, DebateCase studies from st proposal	

Idiosyncratic analysis of decision making (III) Case Study, Debate	Case studies from students proposal
--	-------------------------------------

Bibliography:

 Allison, G., Zelikow, P., Essence Decision : Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis, Iași, Polirom, 2010;
 Ciot, M.-G., Günter Verheugen – Negotiating the Enlargement of the European Union, Editura Institutului de Științe Politice și Relații Internaționale "Ion I.C. Brătianu", 2016;
 Ciot, M.-G., Negotiation and Foreign Policy Decision-Making, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2014;

4. Ciot, Gabriela, Modeul Negociatorului, Cluj-Napoca, Eikon, 2012.

9. Aligning the contents of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community representatives, professional associations and standard employers operating in the program field

• After this course, students would perform complex analysis of decision making in the field of external policy, gaining the skills necessary to conduct the activities of non-governmental organizations, ministries, embassies and government and regional authorities.

10. Examination

Activity type	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Weight in			
			the final grade			
10.4 Lectures	participation in the course,	exam	50%			
	studying the bibliography,					
	one final paper (minimum					
	10 pg)					
10.5	participation in the	colloquy	50%			
Seminar/Laboratory	seminar, the online					
	discussions.					
Ex officio: 1 point						
10.6 Minimum performance standard						
• For grade 5:						
Presentation of a case of foreign policy decision making.						
• For grade 10:						
Presentation of a complex paper, analysing a case of decision making in foreign policy, from the						
perspective of decision making models and idiosyncrasies.						

Date of issue

Signature of the teacher responsible for lectures

Signature of the teacher responsible for seminars

27.09.2021

Date of approval by the doctoral school council

Signature of the doctoral school director