COURSE SYLLABUS

1. Data about the program

1. Dute about the program	
1.1 Higher education institution	Babeș-Bolyai University
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of European Studies
1.3 Doctoral school	European Paradigm
1.4 Field of study	History
1.5 Study cycle	Doctorate
1.6 Study program / Qualification	History

2. Course data

2.1 Name of discipl	ine	HISTORY AND MYTHHISTORY					
2.2 Teacher responsible for lectures Prof. Ovidiu PECICAN Ph.D.							
2.3 Teacher responsible for seminars		Pr	of. Ovidiu PECICAN P	h.D.			
2.4 Year of study	2.5	Semester		2.6. Type of evaluation		2.7 Course framework	

3. Estimated total time of teaching activities (hours per semester)

3.1 Hours per week	4	Out of which: 3.2	2	3.3 Seminars /	2
		Lectures		Laboratory classes	
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	48	Out of which: 3.5	24	3.6 Seminars /	24
		Lectures		Laboratory classes	
Allocation of study time:					
Study supported by textbooks, other of	course	materials, recommend	led bib	liography and personal	
student notes					
Additional learning activities in the library, on specialized online platforms and in the field					
Preparation of seminars / laboratory classes, topics, papers, portfolios and essays					
Tutoring					
Examinations					
Other activities: -					
3.7 Individual study (total hours)					
3.8 Total hours per semester					

3.9	Number of credits	
5.1		

4. Preconditions (where applicable)

4.1 Curriculum	•
4.2 Competences	•

5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1 Conducting lectures	•
5.2 Conducting seminars /	
laboratory classes	

6. Specific competences acquired

or speering	competences acquired
Professional competences	 The Ph.D student will distinguish between History and Myth will understand the process de elaborare al legendelor, will be able to separate the historical truth from the fictional narrative. Will manage to use the discourse analysis of legends and historiography, will be aware about the methods of the legendary discours analyses and the historical one, identifying the main characteristics of each and making clear the separation between the elements of reasonability and realistic, on one hand, and the imaginary and ficxtional elements, on the other.
Transversal competences	 Critical analysis of the historiographic and legendary discourses Ability of coherent discourse, logic argumentation and clasification of the narrative types involved in both types of discourses The ability of understanding the propaganda and manipulation.

7. Course objectives (based on the acquired competencies grid)

7.1 The general objective of the course	• Mythhistory, term invented by Mircea Eliade, covers the interferences between history and myth (legends), and the discipline studies these interferences and their consequences.
7.2 Specific objectives	

8. Content

o. Content		
8.1 Lectures	Teaching methods	Comments
1. Introduction	Academic Lecture, face-to-	
2. Myth and History. Definitions	face dialogue, text analysis,	Work on text and
3. Realistic Discours and Fantasy Discours	condensed presentation,	sometimes on visual
4. Hstory	disputatio	supports
5. Muthizised History		No need for special audio-
6. History of Religions and Religion of History		vizual instruments
7. Genesys and Origins		(laptop, ecran etc.)
8. Medieval Imaginary (Jacques Le Goff)		
9. Scientific and Artistic Imaginary (The		
Encyclopedia of Imaginary)		
10. Prophecies (Mircea Eliade)		
11. Atlantis (Platon)		
12. Ghilgameş		
13. Mithhstory (Mircea Eliade)		
14. Ending Approach		
Ribliography:		

Bibliography:

Boia, Lucian, Istorie și mit în conștiința românească, București, Ed. Humanitas, 2018;

Girardet, Raoul, Mituri și mitologii politice, Iași, Ed. Institutul European, 1997;

Pecican, Ovidiu, Evul mediu fictiv, București, Ed. Tracus Arte, 2013;

Pecican, Ovidiu, *Realități imaginate și ficțiuni adevărate în evul mediu românesc*, Cluj-Napoca, Ed. Dacia, 2002; Pecican, Ovidiu, *Troia, Veneția, Roma*, Cluj-Napoca, EFES, 1998;

8.2 Seminars / laboratory classes	Teaching methods	Comments
 Inițiere în studiul miturilor și al istoriei Miorița Meșterul Manole Voichița – balada Ciumei Soarele și Luna – balada incestului Descălecatul Țării Românești Descălecatul Moldovei Anonymus: Gelu Brâncovenii – legendă și teatru popular Zalmoxis – Al. Busuioceanu Mircea Eliade și Miistoria Credințe și idei religioase (M. Eliade) Mitologie și teologie (G. Dumézil) Concluzii – dezbatere 	 debate critical analyse debate debate debate debate debate 	Work on text and sometimes on visual supports No need for special audio- vizual instruments (laptop, ecran etc.)

Bibliography:

Eliade, Mircea ,Aspecte ale mitului, București, Ed. Univers, 1976; Eliade, Mircea, De la Zalmoxis la Genghiz Han, București, Ed. Științifică și Enciclopedică, 1977; Zimmer, Heinrich, Regele și cadavrul, București, Ed. Humanitas, 1992;

9. Aligning the contents of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community representatives, professional associations and standard employers operating in the program field

• Ability of discoursive operativity, in oral and written form, offers to the student instruments for intervention in the most various contexts, both professional and socially.

10. Examination

Activity type	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Weight in the final grade
10.4 Lectures	Physical participation and active behaviour in the frame of debats	Written and oral	50%
10.5 Seminars / laboratory classes	Physical participation and active behaviour in the frame of debats	Face-to-face	50%
			Ex officio: 1 point

10.6 Minimum performance standard

• Pentru nota 5:

Analyses and synthesis of one legend in the frame of the course and/ or semminar meetings

• Pentru nota 10:

Ability of producing an original interpretation, coherently and persuasive argued, of a legend

Date of issue

Signature of the teacher responsible for lectures

Signature of the teacher responsible for seminars

Date of approval by the doctoral school council

Signature of the doctoral school director